GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
191.
(Madras)

File No. 104

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the Appeal Petition of Seshachari Son of Srinivasachari, Archak of the Varad-rajaswami Temple at Hoskote against the order of the Dy: Comm^r declining to register him as the worshipper of the Temple

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

are in the District Office
for records, same at least the
signatures of the Lessees,
having been [illegible] by the
parties Commissioner thereto.

1867.

3. Thompson, Inlet
Municipal Secretary. Submitted
a memo to the Late Commissioner, × Dated 31st Jan, 1865
stating that the Petitioners (Ref. No. E)
Vermilion Lake Lumbering
Syndicate claimed the
Pembatangeen's appointment
on the Strength of a Lease
said to have been granted
by H. M. the Late Sovereign
Comprising the Office on the
application's occupation, to be
held by him in hereditary
succession, & the sole proprietorship
in Copy of the Lease, the
original of which, Lessee
[illegible] Vermilion [illegible] Lake

been produced before the
Division Commissioner
in 1869 in Connection
with some dispute and
was never afterwards re-
-turned to him — The
Magrai Secretary further
observed in the Memo,
that the temple was in a
most dilapidated & dirty
Condition and that the
Servants appeared to have
grossly neglected to take
proper care of the Deity.
On this Memo the late Dewan
was pleased to have given
give Verbal orders (There
is a note by the Magrai
Secretary to this effect)
that the petitioner should
be informed that the

Vijayaraya

6

[illegible] until the trouble was thoroughly restored. This was communicated to the Dy Commr in O. Memo no 60 dated 16th July 1895 and an Endorsement was issued to the petitioner Lucman Ayenegen to the same effect.

4. On the 16th July 1895, the Executive Council to Her Highness the Regent, on which the late Dewan again based an order that no appeal existed from every decision of the order of Government dated 11th July 1895. and the petition was accordingly rejected. (Vide Encl no 647 dated 30th August 1895). He again submitted a Memorial on the 5th December 1895 to H. H. the

X no 30 dated 11th July 1895

the Maharaja Regent, & the late Dewan was again pleased to pass an order on the 23rd December 1895 that the order of the 30th November 1895 was final and that no notice would be taken of further petns from the petitioner. This order was communicated to the petitioner on the 7th January 1896

5. The petitioner subsequently presented several petitions, but all of them were filed.

6. Srinivasa Iyengar has now presented a petn to the address of the present Dewan stating :—

i that under Sanad granted by His Highness the late Maharaja —

· Encl- no 724 dated 7th January 1896. (Ref mark H.)

8

Maharaja Kantheerava Narasaraja Wodiyar Bahadur is the petitioner's ancestor Veeranarasimha Singhasthan the Sarvakaryagaru's post in the said Temple was a hereditary one -

ii That a fresh Sunnad was issued in 1828 by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur III, to Gowrishwara Singhasthan, son of the original grantee, recognizing the hereditary nature of the said appointment.

iii That the Division Court of the late Ashtagrama Division also held that the appointment was a hereditary one

iv That in accordance with this order, his uncle Linganalingaya

In support of this statement, he has submitted an authenticated copy of the Sunnad dated ಶ್ರೀ ೧೮೦೫ ನೇ ಫಸಲಿ ಶುಕ್ಲ ಪಕ್ಷ ಪಾಡ್ಯ ಭಾನುವಾರ.

In support of this statement, he has produced an authenticated copy of the Sunnad dated 10th August 1828.

In support of this statement he has produced an authenticated copy of the Dy Comr's order No 5 dated 13th April 1868.

Sivaramalingam held the Parpathegar's appointment since 1868 to 1894 when he died, leaving no male issue,

v Held- the late magistrate was wrong in insisting upon the production of the original summons although the Dy Commr also reported Held- they were not forthcoming since 1863

vi Held- the fact of the original summons having been forwarded to the Division Superintendent of the late Ashtagramam Dy - through the Amildar of the late Ashtagramam Taluk, is borne out by the Amildar's reports